

## Memorandum

Students' Feed-Back Subcommittee Meeting  
on November 7, 2016. from 3 p.m. at the Kenézy Villa

Attendance:

See the attached attendance sheet.

### I. Agenda

Feed-back results of the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> semester of the academic year 2015/2016

Professor Zoltán Szekanecz, the Vice-Dean for General Affairs of the Faculty of Medicine greeted those present. He said that the language of the meeting would be Hungarian, but the main parts would be translated to English.

Dr. Pál Pap presented the last students' feed-back results. (see attachment 1.) First, he presented the Hungarian summary and after the English. He said that unfortunately they had no enough time to check the real number of the students in case of the different courses. This is why he used the usual number, which is 200 students. In the first 3 years the results were approximately good. So they reached the 30% what is absolutely necessary to create useful answers. On the 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> year this is not reach the 30%. The worst situation in the case of Hungarian students were in 4<sup>th</sup> year and the 5<sup>th</sup> one. They were very far from the 30%. But in the case of the last year was not too bad, because most of the results were very near to the 30%.

Attila Hamar noted that on the 6<sup>th</sup> year the number of the students less than 200, and that could be a reason of the low average.

Dr. Pál Pap presented the summary of the foreign student's results. It was unfortunately absolutely worse than the case of the Hungarian students. If they checked only the case of the Anatomy course there the students answers reached the 30% again compare to 200 students per year. On the 5<sup>th</sup> or 4<sup>th</sup> year there were absolutely lower. There has not reached the 10% not the 30%. The total average in the case of the answers of the foreign students is 10%. He asked the students about the difference.

Answering for the question Azeem J. Umar said that he don't thing foreign student feel that it is makes a difference. This is why they don't do it.

Professor Zoltán Szekanecz made a short summary:

- The answers of foreign student (10%) and the answers of Hungarian student (less than 30%) are a general opinion and it is not representative at all.
- They need some input from the student.

- They can talk about the English students because it is 10%, but the Hungarian Student 30% is really not too much.
- They found that none of the students feel it really useful to fill out the feed-back forms. "Because it won't make a difference."
- Before the Subcommittee had a suggestion that change the paper form to electronic. But as the results show, it still worse and worse. So now they are curious that was it a good idea to change it to electronic or not. Professor Szekanecz thinks it was.
- They have to figure out what shall they do in order to stimulate the students to fill questioners out. They had several suggestions from the positive puss that means that students get something extra. Like those who fill it out at the end of the year they will get an iPad or something. The best solution would be if the Neptun System would open one day or few hours earlier for those who fill in the questioners. For now, it could not be achieved due to technical, IT reason. But they have to deal with this possibility seriously.
- One or two years ago the students questioned the anonymity of the electronic system. Now they are 100% sure that the IT system is totally anonymous, so this shouldn't be a problem filling in the questioners.

Attila Hamar said that in Pécs they have a system like here, electronical feed-back. And they are doing it at the beginning of the semester. In Pécs for those who filled the questioner the Neptun is open one day before the normal Neptun entrance. So of course everybody wants to fill this questioner, because everybody wants choose a good group, teacher etc. And every student needs to take freely chosen courses. They should give a possibility for the students to choose "I won't answer". He suggested that all of the students should have to fill out the form, but they could chose "I won't answer".

Professzor Szekanecz said that someone said maybe it is not democratic.

Azeem J. Umar said that the registration or activating of semester is should open only for those students whose filled out the feed-back forms. Or would be great to get credit points for filling feed-back, as a freely chosen course.

## **II. Agenda**

### Questioners form

Professzor Szekanecz asked for a vote on the questionnaire form:

#### **Who voted in for paper form?**

No one

#### **Who voted in for electronic form?**

All members present voted in favor.

**Based on the vote Professzor Szekanecz established that the questionnaires should be in electronic.**

Attila Hamar suggested saying students that fill in the questioners are mandatory.

Answering for Attila Professor Szekanecz said that they cannot make it to mandatory to fill.

Dr. Csilla Kerékgyártó said that it is similar as the previous system what they used in paper form. So they gave the questioner to the student. Everybody had to bring it back completely filled or not filled.

Professor Zoltán Szekanecz said that they have to ask a lawyer or somebody, a legal person in the University saying that how could they manage this. Because it is 100% sure that not possible to force anyone. If it is not a force but a possibility to do it that is a different. The Hungarian student's representatives suggested that those teachers whose are getting the higher score they could get plus grant or best educator grant and so on.

### **III. Agenda**

#### *Results of the Block practice questioners in the academic year 2015/2016*

Dr. Pál Pap presented the summery of the block practice questioners. (see attachment 1.) In case of the Hungarian student only in the case of Internal Medicine and the Obstetrics and Gynecology courses reached the 30% of the number of the answers. The others were close to 30% but not reached that exactly. If it was compared to 200 students again. In case of foreign students it was worse again, about 10%.

Dr. Attila Hamar said that the number of the previous results - when it was paper form-, was little bit different. He said that with the former questions the result was always above 4. Everything was above 4. And with the new questions it has started from 3, not from 4. He thought it is more accurate than the previous one. So, he thought that the new questions would be better.

The Subcommittee noted that the number of feed-back's questions needs to be reduced. Maximum 10 questions should be on each questioner. A text box would be available under the question for those students who would like to make a note for the answer. The deadline for the revision of questionnaires was that week Friday.

They agreed that the student's clinical competences in the block practice booklet must be rethinking. These should be more realistic. The Vice Dean asked help from the Student's representatives for getting suggestions to the reformation.

Returned to the questioners the members of the Subcommittee suggested buying some tablets to use it for fill in feed-backs during the registration period at the Educational Building. So, those students while waiting for their turn, they could fill in the questioners. Professzor Szekanecz promised to discuss this possibility with the Dean's Leadership, but personally supported the idea.

The committee voted to before any actual survey the Educational Office will send a message to the institutes and for students to draw attention to fill in the questionnaire.

At the end of the meeting Professor Szekanecz suggested to be more faculty member of the Subcommittee.

The new member list would be the following:

***Student members:***

- 5 students from the English program (from each year)
- 5 students from the Hungarian program (from each year)
- Dr. Attila Hamar

***Faculty members:***

- Professor Zoltán Szekanecz
- Professor Zolátn Papp
- Professor György Balla
- Professor Róbert Póka
- Dr. Róbert Pórszász
- Dr. Péter Fülöp
- Dr. Tamás Dinya
- Dr. Csilla Kerékgyártó
- Dr. Pál Pap
- Edit Fábián

All of the members agreed with the proposal.

Based on this Professor Szekanecz would present the proposal for the Faculty Leadership.

Professor Zoltán Szekanecz thanked for the attendance, and closed the meeting.



Zoltán Szekanecz M.D, Ph.D.,D.Sc.

Vice-Dean for General Affairs

Chairman of the Student Feed-Back Subcommittee

Judit Domján  
keeper of the minutes